ENVIRONMENT, TRANSPORT & SUSTAINABILITY COMMITTEE

Brighton & Hove City Council

Subject:	Citywide Traffic Regulation Orders
Date of Meeting:	10 th October 2017
Report of:	Executive Director Economy, Environment & Culture
Contact Officer: Name:	Charles Field Tel: 29-3329
Email:	Charles.field@brighton-hove.gov.uk
Ward(s) affected:	Rottingdean coastal

FOR GENERAL RELEASE

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT AND POLICY CONTEXT

- 1.1 The Parking Infrastructure Team receives a number of requests for alterations to parking restrictions within the Controlled Parking Zones. These requests are most often from residents, but can also be from businesses, local members, or other services within the Council. After investigation, if it is decided that the request is justified then it is advertised on a Traffic Regulation Order. Where funding is identified a number of Traffic Regulation orders are also advertised for particular wards outside of Controlled Parking Zones
- 1.2 This report considers the comments, support and objections received to an amendment Traffic Regulation Order, which contains proposals within the Rottingdean Coastal ward.

2. **RECOMMENDATIONS**:

- 2.1 That the Committee (having taken into account of all the duly made representations and objections) approve the following Traffic Regulation Orders:
 - a) Brighton & Hove Seafront (Various Restrictions) Consolidation Order 2008 Amendment Order No.X 201X (ref: TRO-27a-2017)
 - b) Brighton & Hove Outer Areas (Waiting, Loading and Parking) and Cycle Lanes Consolidation Order 2013 Amendment No.X 201X (ref: TRO-27b-2017)

3. CONTEXT/ BACKGROUND INFORMATION

3.1 A Traffic Regulation Order has been advertised recently for proposals within the Rottingdean Coastal Ward which has received support, comments and objections. The comments, support and objections are summarised in Appendix A and plans showing the proposals are shown in Appendix B.

- 3.2 This Traffic Order includes proposed restrictions to 4 roads. A number of objections were received to the advertised Traffic Regulation Order.
- 3.3 In particular objections were received in relation to the following proposals:
 - a) Roedean Road Proposed paid parking spaces and double yellow lines.
 - b) Dean Court Road Proposed Double yellow lines

Summary

- 3.4 **<u>Roedean Road</u>** There have been 64 items of correspondence to this proposal which has been put forward due to safety concerns from residents. An independent highway appraisal was undertaken by consultants on behalf of the residents which concluded that coach parking causes road safety issues and needed to be reviewed.
- 3.5 Following meetings with residents and Ward Councillors a proposal of paid parking bays and double yellow lines has been put forward to resolve these issues (Appendix B).
- 3.6 60 items of support have been received to this proposal with 3 further comments about the way coaches park. In addition to this all 3 Ward Councillors supported this proposal.
- 3.7 1 objection was received as it was felt there was no demand for car parking in this area, the running of engines will happen wherever they park and there is no need for the pedestrian walkway,
- 3.8 Due to the significant support and the need to resolve the road safety issues it is recommended that this proposal is taken forward.
- 3.9 <u>Dean Court Road</u> There have been 21 items of correspondence to this proposal which has been put forward due to safety concerns from residents and supported by Rottingdean Parish Council regarding vehicles moving through the road alongside parked vehicles.
- 3.10 Following meetings with residents and the Rottingdean Parish Council a proposal of double yellow lines has been put forward to resolve these issues and allow a passing place (Appendix B).
- 3.11 16 items of support have been received to this proposal.
- 3.12 5 objections were received as it was felt;
 - That the proposal does not address the problem and needs to be extended further.
 - It would prevent one frontage being able to use this parking area.
 - It would increase air pollution close to a garden.
- 3.13 Due to the support received and the need to resolve the road safety and obstruction issues which have been highlighted by residents due to difficulties of

vehicles passing parked vehicles it is recommended that this proposal is taken forward.

4. ANALYSIS & CONSIDERATION OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

- 4.1 The main alternative option is doing nothing which would mean the proposals would not be taken forward.
- 4.2 However, it is the recommendation of officers that the recommended proposals are proceeded with for the reasons outlined within the report.

5. COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT & CONSULTATION

- 5.1 The Traffic Regulation Order for proposals within the Rottingdean Coastal ward was advertised between the 11th August 2017 and 1st September 2017.
- 5.2 Street Notices were erected on street for the 10th August 2017; this included a plan showing the proposal and the reasons for it. The Notice was also published in The Brighton Independent newspaper on the 11th August 2017.
- 5.3 Detailed plans and all the orders were available on the Council website and could be viewed using the public computers at Customer Service Centres at Bartholomew House, Bartholomew Square, Brighton and Hove Town Hall, Ground Floor, Norton Road, Hove.
- 5.4 The Ward Councillors for the area were consulted on all of the proposals within this Traffic Regulation Order, as were the statutory consultees such as the Emergency Services.

6. CONCLUSION

6.1 It is proposed that the recommendations are agreed due to the reasons outlined in the report and the amount of support received during the consultation period of the Traffic Regulation Order.

7. FINANCIAL & OTHER IMPLICATIONS:

Financial Implications:

- 7.1 The costs associated with the report recommendations will be funded from the existing Parking Infrastructure revenue budget within the Transport service.
- 7.2 Any potential impact on parking income associated with the recommendations will have financial implications on the existing Parking revenue budget within the Transport service. It is difficult to estimate the potential impact on parking income as it is unknown whether vehicles will be displaced elsewhere or be discouraged from parking. It is estimated that the impact on parking income would be immaterial and therefore not require any amendments to current budgeted assumptions; however, this will be reviewed as part of the Targeted Budget Monitoring process.

Finance Officer Consulted: Gemma Jackson

Date: 11/09/17

Legal Implications:

7.3 The Traffic Management Act 2004 places a duty on local traffic authorities to manage the road network with a view to securing, as far as reasonably practicable, the expeditious, convenient and safe movement of all types of traffic.

Under the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 a traffic authority may make a traffic regulation order prohibiting, restricting or regulating the use of a road, or any part of the width of a road by vehicular traffic.

After the proposals for a traffic regulation order have been formally advertised the Council can, in the light of objections / representations received, decide to re- consult either widely or specifically when it believes that it would be appropriate before deciding the final composition of any associated orders. Where there are unresolved objections to a traffic regulation order, then the matter must be returned to the ETS Committee for a decision.

Lawyer consulted: Stephanie Stammers Date: 11 September 2017

Equalities Implications:

7.4 The proposed measures will be of benefit to many road users.

Sustainability Implications:

7.5 No Sustainability implications identified.

Any Other Significant Implications:

7.6 No other significant implications identified.

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

Appendices:

- 1. Appendix A Summary of representations received
- 2. Appendix B Plans showing the proposals